

10. Medical negligence—Majesty of law-doctors.	91
(1) Conclusions of the judgment summed up.	91
(2) Re-prosecuting medical professionals.	93
(3) Case at hand.	94
11. Medical negligence, patients' safety and the law.	98
(1) The problem of blameworthiness in medical profession.	98
(2) Autonomy of medical professionals.	99
(3) Truth-telling.	100
(4) Law on medical negligence.	102
12. Requirements of Section 304-A, IPC.	107
13. Sanction of prosecution—Medical negligence.	109

CHAPTER 2 COMPOSITE NEGLIGENCE

SYNOPSIS

1. Appeal to Medical Practitioner.	112
2. Consent.	113
3. Degree of skill and care required by a medical practitioner.	113
4. Determination of medical negligence or deficiency in service.	115
5. Distinction between an actionable claim for medical negligence and a <i>bona fide</i> mistake.	118
6. Doctors can never be prosecuted for medical negligence.	118
7. Hospital made a party—Doctor not a necessary party.	119
8. Inquiry Reports—Reliability.	119
9. Legitimate Expectation.	119
10. Meaning of Medical Negligence.	120
11. Medical ethics for registered medical practitioners.	120
12. Medical negligence claim—Three basic elements.	121
13. Medical negligence.	121
14. Medical negligence—Ophthalmology.	121
15. Medical negligence—Patient consulted doctor due to swelling in breast.	122
16. Medical negligence—What is relevant and of importance is the inviolable nature of the patient's right in regard to his body and his right to decide whether he should undergo the particular treatment or surgery or not.	122

17. Medical negligence—Whether a crime or a civil wrong?	125
18. Negligence but no consequential damage.	127
19. Opinion varies from doctor to doctor.	127
20. Precautions which doctor, hospitals, nursing homes should take.	127
21. Professional negligence.	133
22. Purpose of cross-examination.	133
23. Scope of.	134
24. Shifting of blame.	138
25. Standard of negligence and nature of evidence.	139
26. Surgery performed at private hospital.	139
27. What amount to.	139

CHAPTER 3 GROSS NEGLIGENCE

SYNOPSIS

1. Amount of care required—Patient died on the day of operation for nasal deformity by plastic surgeon.	141
2. Balance of probability.	141
3. Brain stroke.	141
4. Breach of duty of care.	141
5. Caesarean delivery—Continuous bleeding from operated organ.	142
6. Concept of recklessness as constituting <i>mens rea</i> in criminal law.	142
7. Consent.	143
8. Death of patient due to excessive bleeding.	143
9. Death of patient was not caused due to recklessness or negligence of accused doctor.	144
10. Determination of medical negligence or deficiency in service.	145
11. Distinction between an actionable claim for medical negligence and a <i>bona fide</i> mistake.	145
12. Distinction between negligence in civil law and in criminal law.	145
13. Family planning operation—Heavy blood loss.	146

14. Gross negligence.	146
15. Individual liability of the doctors.	151
16. Inquiry Reports—Reliability.	152
17. Legitimate expectation.	152
18. Liability of doctor—Civil and criminal liability for death of patient.	152
19. Maltreatment—Shortening of limb and disfiguration of right leg below knee.	152
20. Meaning of medical negligence.	153
21. Medical ethics for registered medical practitioners.	153
22. Medical literature produced by complainant should be considered as doctors normally rarely come forward to give evidence against fellow doctors— Cases remanded to State Commission to consider medical literature produced by complainant and examination of expert witnesses.	153
23. Medical negligence.	154
24. Medical negligence—Contributory negligence is not pertinent.	154
25. Medical negligence—Doctors are guilty of gross negligence.	154
26. Medical negligence—Not giving necessary and timely treatment—Hospital authorities liable to compensate.	155
27. <i>Mens rea</i> in medical negligence.	156
28. Mistake or error in interpreting MRI film—It would be gross negligence— Duty of the consulting doctor to correct such errors.	156
29. Needle wrongly inserted.	157
30. Occurrence of Retinopathy of prematurity to premature born child—Hospital is vicariously liable for acts of its doctors—Compensation granted.	157
31. Principles of.	160
32. Professional negligence.	161
33. Rash and negligent act—Case of medical negligence— Convicting a doctor in a criminal case, it must also be proved that this negligence was gross amounting to recklessness.	162
34. Scope of negligence of the doctor.	164
35. Scope of.	165

-
36. Specific act of negligence must be alleged and proved. 166
37. Vicarious liability of hospital—Medical negligence of hospital including negligence of doctors and nurses alleged—Doctors necessary parties. 166
38. Wife of complainant operated upon become paralytic. 166

CHAPTER 4

CASE OF MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE MADE OUT

SYNOPSIS

1. Administering inter muscular injection intravenously causing death within minutes—Absence of evidence to contradict expert professional opinion—Negligence proved—Is deficiency in service. 169
2. An Ayurvedic physician conducted the delivery rather than referring the pregnant lady to a qualified Gynaecologist—Case of medical negligence. 169
3. Burden to rebut the negligence—Blisters appearing on face after application of certain liquid—Medical opinion of no allergy by savlon—Hospital failing to disprove its negligence— *Held*, deficiency in service. 170
4. Case of medical negligence alleged. 170
5. Cause of action personal against the doctor who was negligent—Cause of action does not survive against estate or legal representative—If decree passed before death of doctor held to be negligent, amount could be recovered from estate of the deceased—*Maxim actio personalis mortui cum persona*, explained and applied. 171
6. Death caused by negligence of doctor. 171
7. Death in hospital—On account of injection being given negligently by petitioners-doctors. 171
8. Death of victim due to pneumonia. 172
9. Deficiency in service. 174
10. Deficiency in rendering medical services. 174
11. Deficiency in rendering medical services—Hysterectomy operation—Case of medical negligence—Compensation of Rs. 5 lakhs granted. 175
12. Deficiency in rendering medical services—Respondent hospital and the treating doctor/surgeons were negligent. 176
13. Deficiency in service. 176